

BOOK REVIEW

Jennifer Pahlka, *Recoding America: Why Government Is Failing in the Digital Age and How We Can Do Better*, New York: Metropolitan Books, 2023

A Introduction

In *Recoding America*, Jennifer Pahlka argues that the American government struggles with digital services not because of limited technology, funding, or talent, but because it remains shaped by a bureaucratic mindset designed for the industrial age. Pahlka, the founder of Code for America and former U.S. Deputy Chief Technology Officer under President Obama, contends that modern law only succeeds when it is implemented through systems that actually work for people. As she notes, the effectiveness of law is now closely tied to the computer code that implements it.

Rather than offering a surface-level critique of inefficiency, the book calls for a fundamental rethinking of how government operates. Drawing on years of experience in civic technology, Pahlka argues that government must do more than digitize outdated processes. It must redesign the structures and incentives that shape how policy is delivered. Her analysis is especially relevant in an era of artificial intelligence, where technology increasingly determines access to public benefits and legal rights.

B Summary of the Main Argument

Pahlka identifies three core reasons for government failure in digital transformation: outdated technology, overly complex policy, and a strong culture of risk aversion. The first half of the book explains these problems, while the second half proposes reforms based on user-centered design and iterative improvement.

She begins with the failure of California's Employment Development Department during the COVID-19 pandemic. The system collapsed not simply because it relied on old code, but because it was required to implement thousands of detailed eligibility rules. Pahlka argues that this level of complexity makes systems fragile and challenging to scale. It also pushes public servants to prioritize compliance over service.

Similar problems appear in other case studies. Digital reform efforts at the Department of Veterans Affairs stalled due to rigid project management structures. California's Proposition 64 expungement program failed to deliver results until automation was introduced. In each case, the core issue was cultural. Government systems reward caution and strict rule-following rather than learning and improvement.

To address these problems, Pahlka proposes a lean approach to government management. She emphasizes iterative development, greater discretion for public managers, and policies designed around user experience. Data, she argues, should

guide ongoing improvement rather than serve as a tool for assigning blame. Most importantly, implementation should inform policymaking. Policy design and delivery must work together.

C Analysis and Significance

Recoding America makes an essential contribution to the literature on digital governance and public-sector reform. Like other recent works on government modernization, the book combines policy analysis with a strong reform agenda. What sets Pahlka apart is her perspective as a practitioner who has worked directly inside government systems.

This practical experience gives the book a sense of urgency. Pahlka's metaphor of the "concrete boat" captures how agencies often build systems they expect to fail because following orders protects them from criticism. The image highlights how bureaucratic incentives discourage experimentation and reward risk avoidance.

One of the book's most significant arguments is that implementation is itself a form of policy. Pahlka challenges the idea that lawmaking and implementation are separate stages. Instead, she shows how technical systems and delivery constraints shape policy choices. For lawyers and policymakers, this insight has significant implications. If legal rules only exist in practice through software and systems, then accountability and oversight must focus on how those systems are designed and operated.

Pahlka also connects administrative complexity to inequality. Complex systems favor those who can afford legal or professional assistance. People without those resources often face barriers that discourage participation. By simplifying systems, Pahlka argues, government can improve both efficiency and fairness.

Her discussion of lean government echoes private-sector management ideas, but she adapts them carefully for public institutions. This approach also aligns with current debates about AI regulation, where flexible, adaptive governance models are increasingly seen as necessary.

D Methodology and Sources

Pahlka relies on qualitative case studies drawn from her professional experience and interviews with public servants. She uses clear metaphors to explain complex organizational problems, making her analysis accessible to a broad audience.

Although the book does not rely heavily on empirical data, its credibility comes from lived experience. Pahlka situates her stories within a broader legal and historical context by discussing procurement and administrative laws designed to prevent waste that now limit innovation. The result is a book that blends policy analysis, public administration, and personal narrative.

E Critique

The book's optimism about reform is appealing but open to question. Pahlka believes cultural change within government can overcome institutional barriers, particularly by empowering public servants to experiment and learn. However, government risk aversion is often a rational response to legal liability and political oversight.

Her emphasis on simplification also raises concerns about equity. While simpler systems can be more efficient, complexity sometimes protects vulnerable populations. Pahlka suggests that efficiency gains could be redirected to those most in need, but she provides limited detail on how this would be implemented or evaluated.

The book also assumes a level of technical skill and leadership capacity that many agencies currently lack. Smaller or underfunded agencies may struggle to adopt the agile, product-focused model she promotes.

Despite these limitations, the book remains persuasive. Pahlka treats public servants with respect and empathy, emphasizing that they operate within systems that discourage innovation and reward caution.

F Relevance and Audience

Recoding America is valuable for policymakers, public administrators, and legal professionals. For law students and lawyers interested in technology and administrative law, the book offers an important lesson: legal rules only matter if they work in practice.

The book is especially relevant to debates about AI governance. Governments regulating algorithmic systems face the same challenges Pahlka describes, including outdated infrastructure and rigid oversight. Her emphasis on feedback, iteration, and learning aligns with emerging approaches to adaptive regulation.

Technologists working with the government will also find the book helpful. It provides insight into bureaucratic constraints and offers guidance on how engineers and policymakers can collaborate more effectively.

G Conclusion and Recommendation

In *Recoding America*, Jennifer Pahlka presents both a diagnosis and a roadmap for reform. She explains why government digital systems fail and offers practical ideas for improvement rooted in design, empathy, and institutional learning.

The book's key insight is that effective digital government is not about technology alone. It is about building systems that people can understand and trust. Pahlka's vision emphasizes collaboration between law, technology, and public service, with success measured by citizens' lived experiences rather than formal compliance.

For readers interested in artificial intelligence and the future of governance, the book delivers a clear message. Governing technology requires governing institutions. Iterative design, adaptability, and cross-disciplinary collaboration are not just technical strategies. They are essential to democratic governance.

Recoding America is a thoughtful and hopeful contribution to ongoing discussions about how government can work better in the digital age.

Alida Flores

Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law, J.D. Candidate.